

If a conflict arises between a Clinical Payment and Coding Policy and any plan document under which a member is entitled to Covered Services, the plan document will govern. If a conflict arises between a CPCP and any provider contract pursuant to which a provider participates in and/or provides Covered Services to eligible member(s) and/or plans, the provider contract will govern. "Plan documents" include, but are not limited to, Certificates of Health Care Benefits, benefit booklets, Summary Plan Descriptions, and other coverage documents. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Illinois may use reasonable discretion interpreting and applying this policy to services being delivered in a particular case. BCBSIL has full and final discretionary authority for their interpretation and application to the extent provided under any applicable plan documents.

Providers are responsible for submission of accurate documentation of services performed. Providers are expected to submit claims for services rendered using valid code combinations from Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act approved code sets. Claims should be coded appropriately according to industry standard coding guidelines including, but not limited to: Uniform Billing Editor, American Medical Association, Current Procedural Terminology, CPT® Assistant, Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System, ICD-10 CM and PCS, National Drug Codes, Diagnosis Related Group guidelines, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual, CCI table edits and other CMS guidelines.

Claims are subject to the code edit protocols for services/procedures billed. Claim submissions are subject to claim review including but not limited to, any terms of benefit coverage, provider contract language, medical policies, clinical payment and coding policies as well as coding software logic. Upon request, the provider is urged to submit any additional documentation.

Biomarker Testing for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Neurologic Diseases

Policy Number: CPCPLAB036

Version 1.0

Approval Date: September 25, 2025

Plan Effective Date: January 1, 2026

Description

The Plan has implemented certain lab management reimbursement criteria. Not all requirements apply to each product. Providers are urged to review Plan documents for eligible coverage for services rendered.

Reimbursement Information:

1. For the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis (MS), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and serum oligoclonal band analysis **may be reimbursable** in any of the following situations:
 - a. For individuals with atypical clinical, laboratory, or imaging features;
 - b. For individuals with an atypical clinically isolated syndrome including, but not limited to, primary progressive multiple sclerosis or relapsing-remitting course;
 - c. For individuals belonging to a population in which MS is less common (e.g., children, older individuals);
 - d. For individuals with insufficient clinical or imaging evidence for diagnosis.
2. In cases of suspected neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) or myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-immunoglobulin G (MOG-IgG)-associated encephalomyelitis (MOG-EM), serum indirect fluorescence assay or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) assay of aquaporin-4-IgG (AQP4-IgG) and MOG-IgG **may be reimbursable** when **all** the following conditions are met:
 - a. The individual has monophasic or relapsing acute optic neuritis, myelitis, brainstem encephalitis, encephalitis, or any combination thereof;
 - b. The individual has radiological or electrophysiological findings compatible with central nervous system (CNS) demyelination;
 - c. The individual has at least one of the following:
 - i. Belongs to a higher risk population (e.g., pediatric);
 - ii. Has an abnormal MRI depicting extensive optic nerve lesion, extensive spinal cord lesion or atrophy, or large confluent T2 brain lesions;
 - iii. Has prominent papilledema/papillitis/optic disc swelling during acute optic neuritis;
 - iv. Has neutrophilic CSF pleocytosis;
 - v. Has a histopathology finding of primary demyelination with intralesional complement and IgG deposits or has a previous diagnosis of "pattern II MS";
 - vi. Has simultaneous bilateral acute optic neuritis;
 - vii. Has a severe visual deficit or blindness in one or both eyes during or after acute optic neuritis;
 - viii. Has severe or frequent episodes of acute myelitis or brainstem encephalitis;
 - ix. Has permanent sphincter and/or erectile disorder after myelitis;

- x. Has a previous diagnosis of acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM).
3. In all other situations, serum biomarker tests for multiple sclerosis **are not reimbursable**.
4. ELISA, Western blot, immunohistochemistry, or any other serum assays to test for NMOSD or MOG-EM **are not reimbursable**.
5. For the diagnosis of MS, NMOSD, or MOG-EM, all other cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarker tests, including AQP4-IgG or MOG-IgG **are not reimbursable**.

Procedure Codes

The following is not an all-encompassing code list. The inclusion of a code does not guarantee it is a covered service or eligible for reimbursement.

Codes
83520, 83884, 83916, 84182, 86051, 86052, 86053, 86362, 86363, 88341, 88342, 0443U

References:

1. Olek M, Howard, Jonathan. Clinical course and classification of multiple sclerosis. Updated April 18, 2025. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clinical-presentation-course-and-prognosis-of-multiple-sclerosis-in-adults>
2. Lotze TE. Causes of acute central nervous system demyelination in children. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/causes-of-acute-central-nervous-system-demyelination-in-children>
3. Glisson CC. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD): Clinical features and diagnosis. Updated January 7, 2025. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/neuromyelitis-optica-spectrum-disorder-nmosd-clinical-features-and-diagnosis>
4. MS International Federation. MS Statistics. <https://www.atlasofms.org/map/united-states-of-america/epidemiology/number-of-people-with-ms>
5. Goodin DS. The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis: insights to disease pathogenesis. *Handbook of clinical neurology*. 2014;122:231-66. doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-52001-2.00010-8
6. Wallin MT, Culpepper WJ, Campbell JD, et al. The prevalence of MS in the United States: A population-based estimate using health claims data. *Neurology*. 2019;92(10):e1029-e1040. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000007035

7. Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA, et al. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. *Neurology*. 2014;83(3):278-86. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000000560
8. Weinshenker BG. Natural history of multiple sclerosis. *Annals of neurology*. 1994;36 Suppl:S6-11. doi:10.1002/ana.410360704
9. Eriksson M, Andersen O, Runmarker B. Long-term follow up of patients with clinically isolated syndromes, relapsing-remitting and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)*. 2003;9(3):260-74. doi:10.1191/1352458503ms914oa
10. Koch M, Kingwell E, Rieckmann P, Tremlett H. The natural history of primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2009;73(23):1996-2002. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c5b47f
11. Sapko K, Jamroz-Wisniewska A, Marciniak M, Kulczynski M, Szczepanska-Szerej A, Rejdak K. Biomarkers in Multiple Sclerosis: a review of diagnostic and prognostic factors. *Neurol Neurochir Pol*. 2020;54(3):252-258. doi:10.5603/PJNNS.a2020.0037
12. Filippi M, Rocca MA. MR imaging of multiple sclerosis. *Radiology*. 2011;259(3):659-81. doi:10.1148/radiol.11101362
13. Brownlee WJ, Hardy TA, Fazekas F, Miller DH. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: progress and challenges. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2017;389(10076):1336-1346. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30959-x
14. Schaffler N, Kopke S, Winkler L, et al. Accuracy of diagnostic tests in multiple sclerosis--a systematic review. *Acta neurologica Scandinavica*. 2011;124(3):151-64. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2010.01454.x
15. Offenbacher H, Fazekas F, Schmidt R, et al. Assessment of MRI criteria for a diagnosis of MS. *Neurology*. 1993;43(5):905-9. doi:10.1212/wnl.43.5.905
16. Rovira A, Swanton J, Tintore M, et al. A single, early magnetic resonance imaging study in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Archives of neurology*. 2009;66(5):587-92. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2009.49
17. Hyun JW, Kim W, Huh SY, et al. Application of the 2017 McDonald diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis in Korean patients with clinically isolated syndrome. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)*. 2018;1352458518790702. doi:10.1177/1352458518790702
18. Olek M, Howard, Jonathan. Evaluation and diagnosis of multiple sclerosis in adults. Updated April 30, 2024. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/evaluation-and-diagnosis-of-multiple-sclerosis-in-adults>
19. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2018;17(2):162-173. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(17)30470-2
20. Luzzio C. Multiple Sclerosis Guidelines. *Medscape*. 2024;
21. Yang J, Hamade M, Wu Q, et al. Current and Future Biomarkers in Multiple Sclerosis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23(11)doi:10.3390/ijms23115877
22. Lim CK, Bilgin A, Lovejoy DB, et al. Kynurenine pathway metabolomics predicts and provides mechanistic insight into multiple sclerosis progression. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7:41473. doi:10.1038/srep41473
23. Comabella M, Montalban X. Body fluid biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2014;13(1):113-26. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(13)70233-3

24. Teunissen CE, Malekzadeh A, Leurs C, Bridel C, Killestein J. Body fluid biomarkers for multiple sclerosis--the long road to clinical application. *Nature reviews Neurology*. 2015;11(10):585-96. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2015.173
25. Raphael I, Webb J, Stuve O, Haskins WE, Forsthuber TG. Body fluid biomarkers in multiple sclerosis: how far we have come and how they could affect the clinic now and in the future. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*. 2015;11(1):69-91. doi:10.1586/1744666x.2015.991315
26. Comabella M, Sastre-Garriga J, Montalban X. Precision medicine in multiple sclerosis: biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response. *Current opinion in neurology*. 2016;29(3):254-62. doi:10.1097/wco.0000000000000336
27. El Ayoubi NK, Khoury SJ. Blood Biomarkers as Outcome Measures in Inflammatory Neurologic Diseases. *Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics*. 2017;14(1):135-147. doi:10.1007/s13311-016-0486-7
28. Fryer JP, Lennon VA, Pittock SJ, et al. AQP4 autoantibody assay performance in clinical laboratory service. *Neurology(R) neuroimmunology & neuroinflammation*. 2014;1(1):e11. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000011
29. Jitprapaikulsan J, Chen JJ, Flanagan EP, et al. Aquaporin-4 and Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein Autoantibody Status Predict Outcome of Recurrent Optic Neuritis. *Ophthalmology*. 2018;125(10):1628-1637. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.03.041
30. Sotirchos ES, Filippatou A, Fitzgerald KC, et al. Aquaporin-4 IgG seropositivity is associated with worse visual outcomes after optic neuritis than MOG-IgG seropositivity and multiple sclerosis, independent of macular ganglion cell layer thinning. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)*. 2019;1352458519864928. doi:10.1177/1352458519864928
31. Cantó E, Barro C, Zhao C, et al. Association Between Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels and Long-term Disease Course Among Patients With Multiple Sclerosis Followed up for 12 Years. *JAMA Neurol*. 2019;76(11):1359-66. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2137
32. Gil-Perotin S, Castillo-Villalba J, Cubas-Nuñez L, et al. Combined Cerebrospinal Fluid Neurofilament Light Chain Protein and Chitinase-3 Like-1 Levels in Defining Disease Course and Prognosis in Multiple Sclerosis. *Front Neurol*. 2019;10:1008. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.01008
33. Martin S-J, McGlasson S, Hunt D, Overell J. Cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light chain in multiple sclerosis and its subtypes: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2019;90(9):1059. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2018-319190
34. Simonsen CS, Flemmen H, Lauritzen T, Berg-Hansen P, Moen SM, Celius EG. The diagnostic value of IgG index versus oligoclonal bands in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin*. 2020;6(1):2055217319901291. doi:10.1177/2055217319901291
35. Benkert P, Meier S, Schaedelin S, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain for individual prognostication of disease activity in people with multiple sclerosis: a retrospective modelling and validation study. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2022;21(3):246-257. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(22)00009-6

36. Kodosaki E, Watkins WJ, Loveless S, et al. Combination protein biomarkers predict multiple sclerosis diagnosis and outcomes. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2024;21(1):52.
37. Lublin FD, Coetzee T, Cohen JA, Marrie RA, Thompson AJ, International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in MS. The 2013 clinical course descriptors for multiple sclerosis: A clarification. *Neurology*. 2020;94(24):1088-1092. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000009636
38. Jarius S, Paul F, Aktas O, et al. MOG encephalomyelitis: international recommendations on diagnosis and antibody testing. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2018;15:134. doi:10.1186/s12974-018-1144-2
39. Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. 2015;85(2):177-89. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000001729
40. NICE. Multiple sclerosis in adults: management. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng220/chapter/Recommendations#diagnosing-multiple-sclerosis>
41. FDA. 510k. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K161951.pdf

Policy Update History:

Approval Date	Effective Date; Summary of Changes
09/25/2025	01/01/2026: New policy.